International Application No PUT/ US2005/006082

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 CO7H17/00 CO7H C07H17/08 A61K31/7048 A61K31/7052 A61P31/04 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system tollowed by classification symbols) C07H A61K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, CHEM ABS Data, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category 9 Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X WO 2004/013153 A (ZAMBON GROUP S.P.A; 1-3,5-31NAPOLETANO, MAURO; MORIGGI, ERMANNO; MEREU, ANDREA) 12 February 2004 (2004-02-12) see in particular compounds 5, 7. 8, 30 WO 00/40589 A (PLIVA, FARMACEUTSKA 1-3,5-31X INDUSTRIJA. DIONIČ BUREK, GORDANA; LAZAREVS) 13 July 2000 (2000-07-13) claims 2,4,5 WO 99/22722 A (ABBOTT LABORATORIES) 1-3,5-31X 14 May 1999 (1999-05-14) see in particular compound 5 WO 99/16779 A (ABBOTT LABORATORIES) 1-3,5-318 April 1999 (1999-04-08) page 18 - page 19 -/--Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. X Special categories of cited documents: 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled other means in the art. *P* document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed *&* document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search **2 3**. 11, 2005 24 August 2005 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswljk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Bardili, W Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

International Application No
PCT7US2005/006082

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 02/051855 A (KOSAN BIOSCIENCES, INC) 4 July 2002 (2002-07-04) example 44	1-3,5-31
X	EP 0 643 068 A (CHUGAI SEIYAKU KABUSHIKI KAISHA; CHUGAI PHARMACEUTICAL CO LTD) 15 March 1995 (1995-03-15) page 19 - page 22	1-3,5-31
E	WO 2005/049632 A (RIB-X PHARMACEUTICALS, INC; FARMER, JAY, J; GOLDBERG, JAY, A; OYELERE,) 2 June 2005 (2005-06-02) the whole document	1-3,5-31
E	WO 2005/042554 A (RIB-X PHARMACEUTICALS, INC; OYELERE, ADEGBOYEGA, K; FARMER, JAY, J) 12 May 2005 (2005-05-12) the whole document	1-3,5-31
	·	
	·	
. :	·	
	·	

ernational application No. PCT/US2005/006082

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-3, 5-31 (parts)
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-3,5-31 (parts)

Compounds of formula (I), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and use $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}$

2. claims: 1-31 (parts)

Compounds of formula (II), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and $\mbox{ use }$

nformation on patent family members

International Application No
PCY/US2005/006082

						032003/000082
	atent document d in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO	2004013153	A	12-02-2004	AU BR CA CN EP	2003269861 A1 0313162 A 2493995 A1 1678620 A 1529053 A2	23-02-2004 05-07-2005 12-02-2004 05-10-2005 11-05-2005
WO	0040589	A	13-07-2000	AU CA CN CZ EP HK HU JP RU SK US	3067900 A 2358594 A1 1332746 A 20012316 A3 1140962 A2 1043597 A1 980646 A1 0105049 A2 2002534432 T 2234510 C2 9182001 A3 6573367 B1	24-07-2000 13-07-2000 23-01-2002 17-10-2001 10-10-2001 08-10-2004 28-02-2001 29-04-2002 15-10-2002 20-08-2004 07-01-2002 03-06-2003
WO	9922722	A	14-05-1999	AU BG BR CA CN EP HU JP NO PL SK TR ZA	1206799 A 104436 A 9813318 A 2307850 A1 1278178 A 1027060 A2 0100012 A2 2001521891 T 20002189 A 340604 A1 6172000 A3 200001147 T2 9809885 A	24-05-1999 29-12-2000 22-08-2000 14-05-1999 27-12-2000 16-08-2000 28-05-2001 13-11-2001 28-06-2000 12-02-2001 12-03-2001 21-08-2000 05-05-1999
WO	9916779	A	08-04-1999	AU BG BR CN DE DK PS HUP NO PT SKR	260293 T 737310 B2 9317698 A 104340 A 9812577 A 2303930 A1 1271363 A 69821964 D1 69821964 T2 1025114 T3 1025114 A1 2217579 T3 0004579 A2 2001518476 T 20001439 A 339575 A1 1025114 T 4562000 A3 200000805 T2	15-03-2004 16-08-2001 23-04-1999 31-01-2001 17-10-2000 08-04-1999 25-10-2000 01-04-2004 30-12-2004 05-07-2004 09-08-2000 01-11-2004 28-05-2001 16-10-2001 29-05-2000 18-12-2000 30-07-2004 12-09-2000 23-10-2000
WO	02051855	Α	04-07-2002	CA EP JP	2429709 A1 1337540 A2 2004522726 T	04-07-2002 27-08-2003 29-07-2004
	0643068	 A	15-03-1995	AT	169927 T	15-09-1998

formation on patent family members

International Application No
PUT7 US2005/006082

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0643068 A		AU	659740 B2	25-05-1995
		ΑU	4089793 A	30-12-1993
		CA	2117883 A1	09-12-1993
		CN	1081184 A	26-01-1994
		DE	69320476 D1	24-09-1998
		DE	69320476 T2	25-03-1999
		DK	643068 T3	08-02-1999
		ES	2120499 T3	01-11-1998
		HK	1009969 A1	12-05-2000
		HR	930926 A1	28-02-1995
		ΙL	105810 A	27-12-1998
		WO	9324509 A1	09-12-1993
		KR	226311 B1	15-10-1999
		SG	52785 A1	28-09-1998
		SI	9300281 A	31-12-1993
		US	5658888 A	19-08-1997
		ZA	9303679 A	21-12-1993
WO 2005049632 A	02-06-2005	NONE		
WO 2005042554 A	12-05-2005	NONE		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) 27.02.2004 PCT/US2005/006082 25.02.2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07H17/00, C07H17/08, A61K31/7048, A61K31/7052, A61P31/04 Applicant RIB-X PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

- Box No. Ⅱ
- Box No. III
- Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☑ Box No. IV
- Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V
- Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII
 - Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION 2

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, sée Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Bardili, W

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2132



WRITTEN OPINION OF 1— 1 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

 International application No
 PCT/US2005/006082

	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With the I	regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in anguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With nece	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe of material:
		a sequence listing
		atable(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rmat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tin	me of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional comments:
	Вох	No. II Priority
1.		The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	itional observations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF T: 1/2 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	International application No
7	PCT/US2005/006082

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The	e questions whether the claimed rious), or to be industrially applic	inver able	ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:		
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-3 (parts), 4, 5-31	(par	ts)		
bed	ause:				
	the said international application does not require an international	n, or al pre	the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which eliminary examination (specify):		
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-3 (parts), 4, 5-31 (parts)				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls .		

WRITTEN OPINION OF T: 1

International application No
PCT/US2005/006082

	Box No. IV Lack of unity of inve	ntion						
1.	I. $oxtimes$ In response to the invitation (Fc	orm PCT/ISA/206	s) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:					
	☐ paid additional fees.							
	☐ paid additional fees und	er protest.						
	□ not paid additional fees							
2.	2. This Authority found that the retained the applicant to pay additional f		ty of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite					
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13								
	□ complied with							
	□ not complied with for the following	g reasons:						
	see separate sheet	see separate sheet						
4.	4. Consequently, this report has been	established in re	espect of the following parts of the international application:					
	☐ all parts.	☐ all parts.						
		be by the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-3 (parts), 5-31 (parts) begin to the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-3 (parts).						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement industrial applicability; citations	under Rule 43 and explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement					
1.	1. Statement							
		Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-3,5-31					
		Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-3,5-31					
	, , ,	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-3, 5-19,29-31					
2.	2. Citations and explanations							
	see separate sheet							
_	Box No. VIII Certain observation	ns on the interr	national application					

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

This report only covers invention (1) (see item iv) below) since the applicants did not pay the search fee for invention (2).

Claims 20-28 relate to medical treatment of the human body and hence to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

The citations are:

D1/WO 2004/013153 A2

D2/ WO 00/40589 A2

D3/ WO 99/22722 A2

D4/ WO 99/16779 A1

D5/ WO 02/051855 A2

D6/EP 0 643 068 A1

The application comprises two inventions:

Invention (1):

Compounds of formula (I), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and use according to claims 1-3, 5-31

Invention (2):

Compounds of formula (II), pharmaceutical compositions containing them, their preparation and use according to claims 1-31

Compounds according to formula (I) of claim 1 and pharmaceutical formulations containing them are comprised in the state of the art as represented by D1, see compounds 5 (E = CH2, F = alkyl containing NH; G = thiazolyl)), 7, 8, 30, and corresponding "intermediates"; and D2, see claim 2, 4, 5 (E = -C(O)O-, F = CH2, G = phenyl). The medical use of the compounds taught in D1 is the same as in the application. Also, compounds which are structurally similar to the claimed compounds are disclosed in D3, D4, D5 and D6. They correspond to the proviso vi) and vii) of claim 1 (D3, compound 5

(E = CH2, G = phenyl); D4 p. 18-19 (E = CH2, G = cycloalkyl, unsubstituted and substituted aryl); D5, example 44). Given that their medical use is the same as in the application, the subject-matter of the claims with respect to formula (I) is obvious. It appears therefore that formula (I) and formula (II) do not comprise a special technical feature in the sense of Rule 13.2 PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Invention (1):

The subject-matter of claims 1-3 and 5-31 lacks novelty and inventive step (see item IV above).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The expression "prodrug" used in the claims is a functional expression and not allowable in view of the requirements of Article 6 PCT since a claim related to a group of compounds should define their *structural features* to give a clear understanding of the scope of the claim.

Claim 29 does not specify the technical features of the claimed synthesis and hence contravenes the requirements of Article 6 PCT.